the Independent Cities of Charlottesville, Danville, Salem, South Boston, and Waynesboro in Virginia; Greenbrier, Mercer, Monroe, Pocahontas, and Summers Counties in West Virginia; and Caswell, Granville, Person and Rockingham Counties in North Carolina.

Any counties contiguous to the abovenamed counties and not listed herein have been previously declared.

The interest rates are:

	Percent
For physical damage:	_
Homeowners with credit available elsewhere	8.000
Homeowners without credit avail-	8.000
able elsewhere	4.000
Businesses with credit available elsewhere	8.000
Businesses and non-profit orga- nizations without credit avail-	
able elsewhere  Others (including non-profit orga-	4.000
nizations) with credit available	
elsewhere For economic injury:	7.125
Businesses and small agricul-	
tural cooperatives without credit available elsewhere	4.000

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 279306. For economic injury the numbers are 856100 for Virginia; 856800 for West Virginia; and 857000 for North Carolina.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs Nos. 59002 and 59008) Dated: July 7, 1995.

# Bernard Kulik,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–17374 Filed 7–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8025–01–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart Q During the Week Ended June 30, 1995

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 302.1701 et. seq.). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a

tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

Docket Number: OST-95-266 Date filed: June 29, 1995

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: July 27, 1995

Description: Application of Air Nova, Inc., pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Section 41304, and Subpart Q of the Regulations, applies for amendment of its foreign air carrier permit to authorize it to provide scheduled and charter foreign air transportation of persons, property, and mail from any point or points in Canada to any point or points in the United States.

Docket Number: OST-95-268 Date filed: June 29, 1995 Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: July 27, 1995

Description: Application of AirBC,
Limited, pursuant to 49 U.S.C.
Section 413404 of the Act and
Subpart Q of the Regulations, applies
for amendment of its foreign air
carrier permit to authorize it to
provide scheduled and charter foreign
air transportation of persons,
property, and mail from any point or
points in Canada to any point or
points in the United States.
Docket Number: OST-95-259

Date filed: June 28, 1995
Due Date for Answers, Conforming
Applications, or Motion to Modify
Scope: July 26, 1995.

Description: Application of Alaska
Airlines, Inc. for renewal and
amendment of a certificate of public
convenience and necessity permitting
service between Anchorage, Alaska
and the coterminal points Magadan,
Khabarovsk, Vladivostok, and
Petropavlovsk-Kamchatski, Russia.

# Paulette V. Twine,

Chief, Documentary Services Division. [FR Doc. 95–17416 Filed 7–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

# Aviation Proceedings; Agreements Filed During the Week Ended June 30, 1995

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days of date of filing.

Docket Number: OST-95-276

Date filed: June 30, 1995

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association

Subject: TC3 Telex Mail Vote 743;

Korea-Thailand fares: r-1—043i, r-2—053i, r-3—061i, r-4—070t, r-5—085t

Proposed Effective Date: July 1, 1995. Paulette V. Twine,

Chief, Documentary Services Division. [FR Doc. 95–17417 Filed 7–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

# **Coast Guard**

[CGD 95-043]

# Annual Certification of Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990, the Coast Guard may certify, on an annual basis, a voluntary advisory group in lieu of a Regional Citizens' Advisory Council for Prince William Sound, Alaska. This certification allows the advisory group to monitor the activities of terminal facilities and crude-oil tankers under the Prince William Sound Program established by the statute. The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Coast Guard has recertified the alternative voluntary advisory group for Prince William Sound, Alaska.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 1, 1995, through June 30, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Janice Jackson, Project Manager, Marine Environmental Protection Division (G–MEP–3), (202) 267–0500, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street SW., Washington, DC 20593–0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As part of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, Congress passed the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990 (the Act), 33 U.S.C. 2732, to foster the long-term partnership among industry, government, and local communities in overseeing compliance with environmental concerns in the operation of terminal facilities and crude-oil tankers.

Subsection 2732(o) permits an alternative voluntary advisory group to represent the communities and interests in the vicinity of the terminal facilities in Prince William Sound, in lieu of a council of the type specified in subsection 2732(d), if certain conditions are met. The Act requires that the group enter into a contract to ensure annual funding, and that it receive annual certification by the President to the effect that if fosters the general goals and purposes of the Act and is broadly representative of the community and

interests in the vicinity of the terminal facilities. Accordingly, in 1991, the President granted certification to the Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council (RCAC). The authority to certify alternative advisory groups was subsequently delegated to the Commandant of the Coast Guard, and redelegated to the Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security, and **Environmental Protection.** 

On May 11, 1995, in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard announced the availability of the application for recertification that it received from the RCAC and requested comments (60 FR 25257). It received twenty-four comments.

## **Discussion of Comments**

Twenty-three comments support recertification of RCAC without reservation. However, a comment from a member of the oil-tanker industry argues that three issues need to be resolved if the RCAC is to be effective.

The comment states that, "[d]uring the past year, RCAC has made a concerted effort to improve its relationship with the tanker industry." However, despite the positive notes, the comment presses those three issues. "First, is acceptance of RCAC's role as advisory as defined in OPA '90. Second, is RCAC's efforts to influence decision making through political and lobbying efforts. Third, and most important, is trust between RCAC and industry." The Coast Guard has forwarded the comment to RCAC and asked the members to review the issues, consider what is necessary to resolve the issues, and provide a response to the Coast Guard.

It is the Coast Guard's position that those three issues can be addressed successfully by RCAC and that, in fact, progress has been made on the issues during the past year. In light of this, and the many positive comments received regarding RCAC's performance during the past year, the Coast Guard has determined that recertification of RCAC in accordance with the Act is appropriate. The Coast Guard has informed RCAC that documentation should be included in RCAC's recertification application next year indicating how each of the issues has been addressed.

Recertification: By letter dated June 23, 1995, the Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security, and Environmental Protection certified that the RCAC qualifies as an alternative voluntary advisory group under 33 U.S.C. 2732(o). This recertification terminates on June 30, 1996.

Dated: July 11, 1995.

#### J.C. Card,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 95-17489 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

# **Federal Aviation Administration** [AC No. 20-AIR-DU]

# Proposed Advisory Circular (AC) on Voluntary Industry Distributor/Dealer **Accreditation Program**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** The proposed AC is intended to provide information and guidance regarding voluntary accreditation programs for distributors and dealers of civil aircraft parts.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 15, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send all comments and requests for copies of the proposed AC to: Federal Aviation Administration, Aircraft Maintenance Division Attention: AFS-350, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard E. Nowak, AFS-350, at the above address; telephone: (202) 267-7228 (8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. EDT).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The guidance material in this AC describes voluntary programs in which distributors and dealers of civil aircraft parts can obtain accreditation of quality control systems, which would assure that the approval status of their parts is properly documented.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on June 30, 1995

#### William J. White,

Deputy Director, Flight Standards Service. [FR Doc. 95-17407 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## [Summary Notice No. PE-95-23]

# Petitions for Exemption: Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of **Petitions Issued**

**AGENCY: Federal Aviation** Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain

petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition. **DATE:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received

on or before August 7, 1995. ADDRESSES: Send comments on any

petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration. Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence

Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 11,

### Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

# **Petitions for Exemption**

Docket No.: 28223

Petitioner: Executive Air Fleet, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

135.25(b) and (c)

Description of Relief Sought: To allow Executive Air Fleet, Inc., to operate its aircraft without having the exclusive use of at least one aircraft that meets the requirements for at least one kind of operation authorized in the certificate holder's operations specifications.

Docket No.: 28224 Petitioner: Mr. W.H. Symmes Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.383(c)